IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 13071 of 2004

Between:

1 C. Ravivarma S/o. Muni Venkata Raju
O/o. Dy. Executive Engiener, SIW-II, Sub-Division, Tirumala

Tirupathi Devasthanam, R/o. GH.No. 6-8-1007,
N.G.Os. Colony, Tirupathi, Chittoor District.
2 P. Jaya Chandraiah W/o. P. Gurunatham Chetty,
Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, W/o. H.No. 19-14-61/55,

Raghavendra Nagar, Kesavayana Gunta, Tirupathi, Chittoor

District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams,

Tirupathi, Chittoor District.
2 The Prl. Secretary, Govt of A.P., Revenue (Endowments-III)

Department, Secretariat, Hyd.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ declaring the action of the 1st respondent in misreading the G.O.Ms.No.473 Revenue (Endowments-III) Dept., dt. 9.7.2004 issued by the 2nd respondent and deviating in implementing the same by giving some ratio to others as illegal, arbitrary, unjust and without jurisdiction and also violative of Articles 14 and 16, and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 1st respondent to implement the G.O.Ms.No.473 Revenue (Endowments-III) Dept Dt. o.7.2004 in giving upgradation of six posts of Assistant

Engineers as Dy. Executive Engineers (Civil) without giving any ratio to others,.
Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.S.I.NEWTON
Counsel for the Respondent No1.: Mr.A.K.Jaya Prakash Rao,
Standing Counsel
Counsel for Respondent No.2: GP FOR ENDOWMENTS

The Court made the following O R D E R:

The petitioners are working as Assistant Engineers in the Office of Deputy Executive Engineer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanam, Tirupathi. They approached this Court with a grievance that the Order issued by the Government of Andhra Pradesh vide G.O.Ms.No.473 Revenue (Endowments-III) Department, dated 09-07-2004, is not being implemented by the first respondent, therefore, they seek a direction to the first respondent to implement the said G.O without misreading the G.O and deviating the directions of the said G.O.

The learned counsel for the first respondent represented that the G.O was issued by the Government only on 09-07-2004, therefore, it requires some time to study the G.O to make the process and to consider the implications, if any in implementing the G.O, and to extend benefit to the petitioner and other eligible candidates. Hence, he seeks an excuse for immediate implementation of the G.O and represents that it requires some reasonable time for the implementation of the said G.O.

In the light of the circumstances mentioned in the petition and explained by the learned counsel on behalf of the first respondent, the first respondent is directed to implement the G.O, according to Rules.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

28-07-2004

vrn

To

1 The Executive Officer, Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams,

Tirupathi, Chittoor District.

2 The Prl. Secretary, Govt of A.P., Revenue (Endowments-III)

Department, Secretariat, Hyd.

3 Two C.Cs to the Government Pleader for Endowments,

High Court Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad (OUT)

4 Two C.D copies